

NONCOMMUTATIVE CARTAN SUB-ALGEBRAS OF C*-ALGEBRAS

Ruy Exel
Florianópolis

1 · Definition. A $*$ -subalgebra B of a von Neumann algebra A is called a Cartan subalgebra if

- (i) B is maximal abelian,
- (ii) the normalizer of B in A , namely $N(B) := \{u \in U(A) : uBu^* = B\}$ generates A ,
- (iii) there exists a faithful normal conditional expectation of A onto B . //

2 · Theorem. [Feldman and Moore, 1977] The most general example of a Cartan subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra with separable predual is

$$L^\infty(X, \mu) \subseteq W^*(R, \sigma),$$

where R is a countable standard measured equivalence relation on (X, μ) , and σ is a two-cocycle. //

In the paper:

A. Kumjian,
On C*-diagonals,
Canad. J. Math. **38** (1986), no. 4, 969–1008.

a different definition of normalizer, more suitable to study C*-algebras, was introduced:

3 · Definition. [Kumjian] If B is a closed $*$ -subalgebra of a C^* -algebra A , the normalizer of B in A is the set

$$N(B) := \{a \in A : aBa^* \subseteq B, a^*Ba \subseteq B\}. //$$

This was in turn based on a previous definition by Renault in his thesis, where partial isometries were considered.

In the paper mentioned above Kumjian gave a generalization of Feldman and Moore's Theorem to the context of C^* -algebras. Kumjian's hypotheses are rather strong and they imply, in particular, that pure states extend uniquely from the subalgebra.

Recently Renault found an extension of Kumjian's ideas:

4 · Definition. [Renault] A $*$ -subalgebra B of a C^* -algebra A is called a C^* -Cartan subalgebra if

- (i) B contains an approximate unit of A ,
- (ii) B is maximal abelian,
- (iii) $N(B)$ (Kumjian's definition) generates A ,
- (iv) there exists a faithful conditional expectation of A onto B . //

5 · Theorem. [Renault] The most general example of a C^* -Cartan subalgebra of a separable C^* -algebra is

$$C_0(G^{(0)}) \subseteq C_{\text{red}}^*(G, \sigma),$$

where G is a Hausdorff, second countable, essentially principal, étale groupoid and σ is a two-cocycle. //

6 · Corollary. [Renault] If B is a C^* -Cartan subalgebra of a separable C^* -algebra A then the conditional expectation from A to B is unique. //

We wish to find generalizations of the last two results to situations in which B is not abelian.

Observe that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (\text{MAX}) \quad B' \cap A \subseteq B \\ (\text{AB}) \quad B \text{ is abelian} \end{array} \right\} \iff B \text{ is maximal abelian}$$

One could attempt to define a generalized Cartan (?) subalgebra by replacing maximal abeliannes in the definition of a C^* -Cartan subalgebra with (MAX) .

Recall:

7 · Theorem. [Takesaki's Book IX.4.3] Let B be a weakly closed $*$ -subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra A such that $B' \cap A \subseteq B$. Then there exists at most one normal conditional expectation from A to B . //

This indicates that perhaps the Corollary above may be generalized by eliminating condition (AB) .

8 · Example. With \mathcal{K} denoting the algebra of compact operators on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, let $A = C([0, 1]) \otimes \mathcal{K}$, and $B = 1 \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

Notice that $f \in B' \cap A$ if and only of

$$f(x)k = kf(x), \quad \forall x \in [0, 1], \quad \forall k \in \mathcal{K},$$

which implies that $f = 0$, and hence $f \in B$. Thus $B' \cap A \subseteq B$.

It is easy to prove that in fact the pair (A, B) is a generalized Cartan pair according to the proposed (?) definition above.

However, there are lots of conditional expectations from A to B . Just take any measure μ on $[0, 1]$ and put

$$E = \left(\int_0^1 d\mu(x) \right) \otimes I : C([0, 1]) \otimes \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow 1 \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

This is bad news! So we must reformulate everything if we are to obtain a positive result.

Virtual commutants.

9 · Definition. Let B be a closed $*$ -subalgebra of a C^* -algebra A . A virtual commutant of B in A is a pair (J, ϕ) , where J is an ideal in B , and

$$\phi : J \rightarrow A$$

is a B -bimodule map. //

10 · Example. Suppose $B \subseteq A \subseteq C$, and let $x \in B' \cap C$. Put

$$\phi : b \in J \mapsto bx \in A,$$

where

$$J = \{b \in B : bx \in A\}.$$

Then (J, ϕ) is a virtual commutant. Moreover any virtual commutant is of this form! //

11 · Definition. A subalgebra B of a C^* -algebra A is said to satisfy condition (MAX') if for every virtual commutant (J, ϕ) of B in A , the range of ϕ is contained in B . //

One has that

$$(\text{MAX}') \Rightarrow (\text{MAX}),$$

and although (MAX) and (MAX') are not equivalent, it is easy to prove that

$$(\text{MAX}' + \text{AB}) \Leftrightarrow (\text{MAX} + \text{AB}),$$

so (MAX') is a natural condition to consider.

We thus propose:

12 · Definition. $B \subseteq A$ is a generalized Cartan subalgebra if it satisfies all of the above conditions of a C^* -Cartan subalgebra, except that in place of maximal abeliannes we require only (MAX') . //

13 · First Main Theorem. If B is a generalized Cartan subalgebra of a separable C^* -algebra A then the conditional expectation from A to B is unique. //

We now wish to describe our generalization of Feldman–Moore–Kumjian–Renault to the above context. As it stands it is obviously impossible since the unit space of a groupoid leads to an abelian algebra!

So we need to use a generalization of the notion of groupoids in which the unit space is noncommutative!

Fortunately this exists. It is Sieben’s notion of Fell bundles over inverse semi-groups:

14 · Definition. [Sieben, talk at Groupoid Fest, 1998, unpublished] Let S be an inverse semigroup. A Fell bundle over S is a quadruple

$$\mathcal{A} = \left(\{A_s\}_{s \in S}, \{\mu_{s,t}\}_{s,t \in S}, \{\text{star}_s\}_{s \in S}, \{j_{t,s}\}_{s,t \in S, s \leq t} \right)$$

where, for each $s, t \in S$,

- (a) A_s is a complex Banach space,
- (b) $\mu_{s,t} : A_s \times A_t \rightarrow A_{st}$ is a bilinear map,
- (c) $\text{star}_s : A_s \rightarrow A_{s^*}$ is a conjugate-linear isometric map, and
- (d) $j_{t,s} : A_s \hookrightarrow A_t$ is a linear isometric map for every $s \leq t$.

It is moreover required that for every $r, s, t \in S$, and every $a \in A_r$, $b \in A_s$, and $c \in A_t$,

- (i) $(ab)c = a(bc)$,
- (ii) $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$,
- (iii) $a^{**} = a$,
- (iv) $\|ab\| \leq \|a\|\|b\|$,
- (v) $\|aa^*\| = \|a\|^2$,
- (vi) $aa^* \geq 0$, in B_{rr^*} .
- (vii) if $r \leq s \leq t$, then $j_{t,r} = j_{t,s} \circ j_{s,r}$,
- (viii) if $r \leq r'$, and $s \leq s'$, then the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_r \times A_s & \xrightarrow{\mu_{r,s}} & A_{rs} \\ j_{r',r} \times j_{s',s} \downarrow & & \downarrow j_{r's',rs} \\ A_{r'} \times A_{s'} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{r',s'}} & A_{r's'} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A_s & \xrightarrow{\text{star}_s} & A_{s^*} \\ j_{s',s} \downarrow & & \downarrow j_{s',s} \\ A_{s'} & \xrightarrow{\text{star}_{s'}} & A_{s'^*} \end{array}$$

commute. //

Given such a Fell bundle one may define both a full cross-sectional C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ and a reduced cross-sectional C^* -algebra $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{A})$.

In either case the algebra is generated by a representation of the A_s 's and, if $s \leq t$, one has that " $A_s \subseteq A_t$ ".

Why does this generalize groupoids?

If G is an étale groupoid then the collection of all open slices (also called bisections or G -sets) is an inverse semigroup.

For every slice $U \subseteq G$, let A_U be the set of elements in $C^*(G)$ supported in U . Then $\{A_U\}_U$ is a Fell bundle and its cross-sectional C^* -algebra is isomorphic to $C^*(G)$. If G is second countable then one may restrict to a countable subsemigroup of all slices.

Reference:

R. Exel,
Inverse semigroups and combinatorial C^* -algebras,
Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.), **39** (2008), 191 - 313.

Most likely this also holds for twisted groupoids as well.

15 · Second Main Theorem. If “ $B \subseteq A$ ” is a generalized Cartan pair, with A separable, then there exists a Fell bundle \mathcal{A} over a countable inverse semigroup S and an isomorphism from A to $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{A})$ which carries B onto $C^*(\mathcal{E})$, where \mathcal{E} is the restriction of \mathcal{A} to the idempotent semilattice of S . //

Highlights of the proof.

Fix a generalized Cartan pair “ $B \subseteq A$ ”. Recall that

$$N(B) := \{a \in A : aBa^* \subseteq B, a^*Ba \subseteq B\}.$$

Observe that $N(B)$ is not a linear subspace.

16 · Definition. A slice is any closed linear subspace $M \subseteq N(B)$, such that $BM, MB \subseteq M$. //

If $a \in N(B)$ then \overline{BaB} is a slice containing a . Therefore every element of $N(B)$ is contained in some slice.

Since $N(B)$ is supposed to generate A , one has that

$$A = \overline{\sum_{M \in \mathfrak{S}_{A,B}} M}.$$

If M is a slice then $M^*M \subseteq B$, so M may be viewed as a right Hilbert B -module with inner product

$$\langle m, n \rangle = m^*n, \quad \forall m, n \in M.$$

By Kasparov's stabilization Theorem there exists a basis, that is, a sequence $\{u_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in M such that

$$m = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} u_i \langle u_i, m \rangle = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} u_i u_i^* m, \quad \forall m \in M.$$

Let $P : A \rightarrow B$ be the conditional expectation (required by definition of Cartan subalgebra).

Here is the most important computation of this whole program: for $m, n \in M$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(u_i) P(u_i^*) m n^* = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(u_i) P(u_i^* m n^*) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(u_i) u_i^* m P(n^*) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(u_i u_i^* m) P(n^*) = \\
&= P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i u_i^* m\right) P(n^*) = \\
&= P(m) P(n^*).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves:

17 · Lemma. The series

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} P(u_i) P(u_i^*)$$

converges in the strict topology of the multiplier algebra of $R(M) = MM^*$ (closed linear span) and if τ is the sum then

$$\tau m n^* = P(m) P(n^*) = m n^* \tau, \quad \forall m, n \in M.$$

Therefore τ lies in the center of said multiplier algebra. //

With $m = n$ we have

$$\tau^{1/2} m m^* \tau^{1/2} = P(m) P(m^*),$$

from where we deduce that:

18 · Corollary. If M is a slice then for every $m \in M$ one has that $\|\tau^{1/2} m\| = \|P(m)\|$, and hence the map

$$\phi : P(m) \mapsto \tau^{1/2} m$$

is well defined and extends to a map defined on $\overline{P(M)}$ which is a virtual commutant. //

Since we are assuming (MAX') we conclude that $\tau^{1/2} m \in B$, for every $m \in M$, and hence also that $\tau M \subseteq B$.

We then need a technical fact:

19 · Proposition. $P(M) \subseteq \tau M M^*$ (closed linear span). //

This implies that

$$P(M) \subseteq \tau M M^* = M M^* \tau = M(\tau M)^* \subseteq M B^* \subseteq M.$$

Therefore M is invariant under P ! Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \text{Im}(P|_M) \oplus \text{Ker}(P|_M) \\ &= (B \cap M) \oplus (\text{Ker}(P) \cap M) \\ &= (B \cap M) \oplus (B \cap M)^\perp \quad (\text{as Hilbert Modules}). \end{aligned}$$

So P is determined on M , and uniqueness follows because $A = \overline{\sum_{M \in \mathfrak{S}_{A,B}} M}$.

Fell bundles from generalized Cartan pairs.

As we have already seen, a slice is any closed linear subspace $M \subseteq N(B)$ that is a B -bimodule.

If M and N are slices then MN (closed linear span) is also a slice and hence the set $\mathfrak{S}_{A,B}$ formed by all slices becomes a semigroup.

It is elementary to check that M^* is a slice for each slice M .

In addition, given a slice M we have that

$$M M^* M \subseteq M B \subseteq M.$$

Thus M is a right Hilbert module over $M^* M$ so, by Cohen-Hewitt, $M M^* M = M$. Therefore $\mathfrak{S}_{A,B}$ is actually an inverse semigroup.

The Fell bundle is then obvious: For each slice M put $A_M = M$, and hence

$$\{A_M\}_{M \in \mathfrak{S}_{A,B}}$$

is a Fell bundle.

When dealing with inverse semigroups there is often information in excess, so one may hope to reduce the size of $\mathfrak{S}_{A,B}$.

20 · Theorem. Let \mathfrak{S} be a $*$ -subsemigroup of $\mathfrak{S}_{A,B}$ such that

- (i) $A = \overline{\sum_{M \in \mathfrak{S}} M}$,
- (ii) for every $M, N \in \mathfrak{S}$, and every $a \in M \cap N$, there exists $K \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $a \in K \subseteq M \cap N$.

Consider the Fell bundle

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A_M\}_{M \in \mathfrak{S}}$$

and the restriction of \mathcal{A} to the idempotent semilattice of S

$$\mathcal{E} = \{A_M\}_{M \in E(\mathfrak{S})}.$$

Then $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to A via an isomorphism which carries $C^*(\mathcal{E})$ onto B . //

Since A is separable, one may easily find a separable \mathfrak{S} satisfying the conditions above!

Construction of the algebras.

Let us suppose we are given a Fell bundle $\mathcal{A} = \{A_s\}_{s \in S}$ over the inverse semigroup S .

21 · Definition. The full cross-sectional C^* -algebra of A , denoted $C^*(\mathcal{A})$, is defined to be the universal C^* -algebra generated by the disjoint union

$$\bigcup_{s \in S} A_s,$$

subject to the relations given by the multiplication and adjoint operations above, and also such that whenever $s \leq t$, and $a_s \in A_s$, one has that

$$a_s = j_{t,s}(a_s).$$

Easy to show this exists. As always one should provide representations to show it is nontrivial.

- **Special Case: Every element in S is idempotent.**

This is to say that S is a semilattice. Given $e, f \in S$, pick $a \in A_e$. Then $ef \leq f$, so may speak of the composition

$$b \in A_f \mapsto ab \in A_{ef} \xrightarrow{j_{f,ef}} A_f.$$

This defines a map $A_e \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A_f)$ (multiplier algebra), hence a map

$$\lambda_e : A_e \rightarrow \prod_f \mathcal{M}(A_f).$$

22 · Proposition. $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to the closed $*$ -subalgebra generated by $\bigcup_{e \in S} \lambda_e(A_e)$.

- **General Case.**

Given \mathcal{A} , consider the restriction $\mathcal{E} = \{A_e\}_{e \in E(S)}$, where $E(S)$ is the idempotent semilattice of S .

By case above we have a nice picture of $C^*(\mathcal{E})$, so may use it as a starting point for analyzing $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.

Fell bundles over groups suggest: construct a Hilbert module over $C^*(\mathcal{E})$, and a regular (?) representation of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ as operators on this Hilbert module.

Big trouble: regular representations require conditional expectations, but there is none in sight (this is related to similar headaches in non-Hausdorff groupoids).

Somehow it is still possible to induce representations, which is basically the same as extending states. Here is how to do it: pick a state ϕ on $C^*(\mathcal{E})$.

Suppose that $s \in S$ is such that $s \geq e$, for some idempotent e . Then $se = e$, so

$$A_s A_e \subseteq A_e \subseteq C^*(\mathcal{E}).$$

Using an approximate unit $\{u_i\}$ for A_e define

$$\phi^s : A_s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

by

$$\phi^s(a) = \lim_i \phi(au_i).$$

Thus one gets functionals on each A_s , which put together gives

$$\Phi : \bigoplus_s A_s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Assuming that the initial state ϕ is pure, and with a lot more work, it is possible to prove that Φ is positive and vanishes on

$$a_s \delta_s - j_{t,s}(\alpha_s) \delta_t,$$

so Φ factors through a state on $C^*(\mathcal{A})$.

23 · Definition. The reduced C^* -algebra of \mathcal{A} , denoted $C_{\text{red}}^*(\mathcal{A})$, is the image of $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ under the direct sum of all GNS representations associated to the Φ 's obtained above.

24 · Corollary. The “inclusion” $A_s \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{A})$ is one-to-one.

25 · Corollary. If s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n are pairwise disjoint elements in S , then

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_{s_i} \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{A})$$

is one-to-one.

...

THE END